UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,971	11/02/2005	Hermann Mayer	10191/3736	6275
26646 7590 02/21/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

El/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/529,971	MAYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph Chang	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/529,971

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kaenel et al. (cited by the applicant).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kaenel et al. discloses a driver device for a voltagecontrolled oscillator (Figures 1-3, page 1137), comprising:

an unstable voltage source (Vdd, see Fig 1);

a voltage regulator (Vred, see also Fig 3);

a driver to generate a control voltage for the oscillator (transistor); and

a feedback loop (PLL, see Fig 2) to control the driver as a function of an output signal of the oscillator (VCO); wherein the voltage regulator supplies the feedback loop with operating voltage (see Fig 1, REGULATED VOLTAGE), while the driver is powered by the unregulated voltage of the voltage source, and the feedback loop compensates

Art Unit: 2817

for voltage fluctuations of the voltage source with the aid of the driver (left column of page 1137).

Regarding claim 12, the functional recitation is inherently capable of performing the function as recited in the claim because the prior art structure is the same as the structure recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaenel et al. in view of Ito et al.

Regarding claim 13, as noted above, Kaenel et al. discloses a driver device for a voltage-controlled oscillator except a filter circuit between voltage source (Vdd) and the

driver (transistor), as would have been well known in the art, a filter is used for isolation as shown in Ito et al. (see paragraph [0023]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a filter between the voltage source Vdd and the driver because such a modification would have provided the benefit of isolation as shown in Ito et al.

Regarding claim 14, the filter 71 shown in figure 13 of Ito et al. inherently limits some voltages.

Regarding claim 15, the modification would have resulted in formed of separate components.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaenel et al. in view of Kunert, US Patent 6,621,449.

As noted above, Kaenel discloses the driver as recited in the claim. However, Kaenel does not disclose its application - a radar system for a motor vehicle. As would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, such a system as shown in Kunert as an example, would have been utilized as an intended application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, Kaenel et al., taken alone or in combination of other references, does not teach or fairly suggest "mixing the output signal of the oscillator" as set forth in the claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cornic et al. discloses radar for motor vehicles.

Beez et al. discloses a radar sensor for motor vehicles

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,971

Art Unit: 2817

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOSEPH CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER